Anomalies in He atom scattering spectra of the H-covered Mo(110) and W(110) surfaces
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Abstract

Helium atom scattering (HAS) studies of the H-covered Mo(110) and W(110) surfaces reveal a two-fold anomaly in the respective dispersion curves. In order to explain this unusual behavior we performed density-functional theory calculations of the atomic and electronic structure, the vibrational properties, and the spectrum of electron-hole excitations of those surfaces. Our work provides evidence for hydrogen adsorption induced Fermi-surface nesting. The respective nesting vectors are in excellent agreement with the HAS data and recent angle resolved photoemission experiments of the H-covered alloy system Mo_{0.4}Re_{0.65} (110). Also, we investigated the electron-phonon coupling and discovered that the Rayleigh phonon frequency is lowered for those critical wave vectors compared to the clean surfaces. Moreover, the smaller indentation in the HAS spectra can be clearly identified as a Kohn anomaly. Based on our results and the recently improved understanding of the He scattering mechanism we argue that the larger anomalous dip is due to electron-hole excitations by the He scattering.
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1. Introduction

Much interest and many questions have been raised by the recent discovery of deep and extremely sharp indentations in the surface phonon spectra of H/Mo(110) and H/W(110) at full hydrogen coverage [1,2] (see Fig. 1). Those anomalies are seen at an incommensurate wavevector, \( \vec{Q}^{\perp} \), along the [001] direction (TH) and additionally at the commensurate wavevector \( \vec{Q}^{\parallel} = \vec{S} \) at the boundary of the surface Brillouin zone (SBZ). Out of the ordinary Rayleigh mode two simultaneous anomalies develop at those points. One, \( \omega_1 \), is extremely

depth, and is only seen by helium atom scattering (HAS). The other, \( \omega_2 \), is instead soft, and is observed by both HAS and high resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy (HREELS) [3,4].

A pronounced but less sharp softening of surface phonons is also seen on the clean (001) surfaces of W [5] and Mo [6]. There, the effect can be explained in terms of a nested structure of the Fermi surface [7,8]. However, for the phonon anomalies of H/W(110) and H/Mo(110) such a connection between the electronic structure and the vibrational properties seemed to be nonexistent since angular resolved photoemission (ARP) experiments of the two systems gave no evidence for nesting vectors comparable to the HAS determined critical wave vectors [9,10]. An
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alternative model which links the phonon anomalies to the motion of the hydrogen adatoms [3] has to be ruled out because the HAS spectra remain practically unchanged when deuterium is adsorbed instead of hydrogen [1,2].

Another puzzle is added by the observation of a symmetry loss in the low energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern of W(110) upon H-adsorption. The phenomena may be caused by a H-induced displacement of the top layer W atoms along the [110] direction [11] (see Figs. 2b and c). Similar studies for H/Mo(110) do not provide any evidence for a top-layer-shift reconstruction [12].

Within a first-principles approach, the goal of our work is to give a comprehensive explanation for the observed anomalous behavior and clarify the confusing picture drawn by the different experimental findings.

2. Method

We perform density-functional theory (DFT) calculations using the local-density approximation
geometries and frozen-phonon energies. For methodological details we refer to Ref. [17]. In the case of the W surfaces the valence and semi-core (core) electrons are treated scalar (fully) relativistically while for Mo all electrons are handled non-relativistically. The in-plane lattice constants $a_{\text{W}0} = 3.14$ Å and $a_{\text{Mo}0} = 3.13$ Å are calculated without including zero-point vibrations. They are in good agreement with the respective measured bulk lattice parameters at room temperature which are 3.163 Å and 3.148 Å for W [18] and Mo [19], respectively.

We performed systematic tests comparing the LDA and the generalized gradient approximation [20] exchange-correlation functionals. For the quantities reported in this paper (total energy differences of different adsorption sites, bond lengths, etc.) both treatments give practically the same results. Also, we checked the $k_T$-point convergence: In the case of atomic and electronic structure calculations a two-dimensional linear mesh of 64 $k_T$-points within the $(1 \times 1)$ SBZ gives stable results. For the evaluation of frozen-phonon energies a set of 56 $k_T$-points is employed within the SBZ of the enlarged $(1 \times 2)$ and $(2 \times 1)$ surface cells.

3. Results

3.1. Atomic structure

The first step in our study is to determine the atomic structures of the clean and hydrogen-covered (110) surfaces. The relaxation parameters presented in Table 1 are calculated for a nine-layer slab (the parameters are defined in Fig. 2d). The results, which are remarkably similar for Mo and W, are in excellent quantitative agreement with a recent LEED analysis [21,22].

As the energetically most favorable hydrogen adsorption site on both W(110) and Mo(110) we identify a quasi-threefold position (indicated as H in Fig. 2a). Our investigations also throw light on the suggested model of a H-induced structural change: For both materials the calculated shift $y_1$ is only of the order of 0.01 Å and thus there is no evidence for a pronounced top-layer-shift recon-

Fig. 2. (a) Adsorbate positions within the $(1 \times 1)$ unit cell of the W(110) and Mo(110) surfaces. Shown are the long-bridge (L), short-bridge (S), hollow (H), and on-top (T) site. (b-c) Structure of the H-covered W(110) surface as suggested by Chung et al. [11]: (b) low H-coverage $\Theta < 0.5$ ML (the in-plane lattice constant is denoted by $a_0$); (c) top-layer-shift reconstruction for higher H-coverage $\Theta > 0.5$ ML. The white (shaded) circles represent the W/Mo atoms of the surface (subsurface) layer. The H positions are indicated by full dots. (d) Structure parameters used in Table 1.
Table 1
Relaxation parameters for the clean and H-covered (110) surfaces of Mo and W (see also Fig. 2d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>( y_0 ) (Å)</th>
<th>( d_0 ) (Å)</th>
<th>( y_1 ) (Å)</th>
<th>( \Delta d_{12} ) (%( d_0 ))</th>
<th>( \Delta d_{23} ) (%( d_0 ))</th>
<th>( \Delta d_{34} ) (%( d_0 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo (110)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/Mo (110)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–2.0 \pm 0.4</td>
<td>0.0 \pm 0.5</td>
<td>0.0 \pm 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55 \pm 0.4</td>
<td>1.3 \pm 0.3</td>
<td>0.0 \pm 0.1</td>
<td>+0.2 \pm 0.8</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W (110)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/W (110)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.56 \pm 0.4</td>
<td>1.2 \pm 0.25</td>
<td>0.0 \pm 0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each system the results from a nine-layer slab calculation (first line) and a LEED analysis (second line) [21,22] are presented. The height of the hydrogen above the surface and its [110] offset from the [001] bridge position are denoted by \( d_0 \) and \( y_0 \), respectively. The shift of the surface layer with respect to the substrate is \( y_1 \). The parameters \( \Delta d_{ij} \) describe the percentage change of the interlayer distance between the \( i \)th and the \( j \)th substrate layers with respect to the bulk interlayer spacing \( d_0 \). The numerical accuracy of the theoretically obtained parameters is \( \pm 0.015 \text{ Å} = \pm 0.7\%d_0 \).

struction. Moreover, this subtle change in the surface geometry is unlikely to be resolved experimentally due to zero-point vibrations. This aspect becomes evident by evaluating the total energy with respect to a rigid top-layer shift. In Fig. 3 we present the results of such a calculation for H/W(110) and depict the first three vibrational eigenstates obtained from a harmonic expansion of the total energy \( E^{\text{tot}}(y_1) \); for H/Mo(110) the energetics is similar. Since we have \( k_BT \approx 25 \text{ meV} \) at room temperature thermal fluctuations of \( y_1 \) are of the order of 0.1 Å. This is considerably larger than the theoretically predicted absolute value of \( y_1 \).

3.2. Electronic structure

The similarities between Mo(110) and W(110) found for the surface geometries continue when we compare the electronic structures. For both systems the H adsorption alters the surface potential and induces the shift of a band with \( (d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{xz}) \) character to higher binding energies [17,23]. Due to this effect which is illustrated in Fig. 4 the Fermi line associated with this band is moved into the band gap of the surface projected band structure, and the respective states become true surface states.

The shifted \( (d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{xz}) \) band is characterized by a high density of states at the Fermi level. More important, the new Fermi contour shows pronounced nesting features (see Fig. 5c). The magnitudes of the calculated nesting vectors and HAS measured critical wavevectors along \( \Gamma S \) and \( \Gamma H \) are listed in Table 2. For both systems the agreement between theory and experiment is excellent.

Our results are at variance with the photoemission studies by Kevan and coworkers [9,10] (see Fig. 2 in Ref. [17]). However, in view of more recent ARP measurements [24] which we compare...
Fig. 4. Band structure (upper figure) and Fermi surface (lower figure) of clean Mo (110) (dotted curves) and H/Mo (110) (solid curves). The shaded areas represent the projection of the bulk Fermi surface onto the SBZ of the (110) surface.

to our results in Fig. 5 we dare to suggest that those differences may be due to problems in the experimental analysis. The later work deals with the (110) surface of the alloy Mo$_{0.95}$Re$_{0.05}$ but the surface physics of both systems Mo$_{0.95}$Re$_{0.05}$ (110) and Mo(110) should be practically the same because in both cases the top layer consists only of Mo atoms. This assumption is also backed by test calculations where we simulated a Mo$_{0.95}$Tc$_{0.05}$ alloy be the virtual crystal approximation and found only minor quantitative changes.

From Fig. 5 it becomes clear that in particular for the important ($d_{3z^2-rc}, d_{xz}$) surface band, which was not seen by Kevan's group, experiment and DFT now agree very well. There are however differences: Theory predicts bands centered at $\bar{S}$ which are not seen by ARP whereas the band circle at $\bar{\Gamma}$ in Fig. 5d is only observed experimentally. Also, in the calculations we find an elliptical band centered at the $\bar{\Gamma}$ point whereas in ARP the same band has the shape of a rectangle. Those discrepancies are probably due to the fact that it is rather difficult in theory (and experiment) to

Fig. 5. Theoretical Fermi surfaces of the (a) clean and (c) H-covered Mo (110) surface. The solid (dotted) lines denote surface resonances or surface states which are localized by more than 60% (30%) in the MTs of the two top Mo layers. Shaded areas represent the (110) projected theoretical Mo bulk Fermi surface. The arrows $\vec{Q}^{1}$ and $\vec{Q}^{2}$ are the critical wave vectors predicted by the calculations. Also presented are data points (+) which stem from an ARP study of the (b) clean and (d) H-covered Mo$_{0.95}$Re$_{0.05}$ (110) surface [24].

Table 2
Theoretical Fermi-surface nesting vectors compared to critical wavevectors obtained by HAS and HREELS experiments [1–4]

| Direction | System       | $|\vec{Q}|$ (Å$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|--------------|----------------------|
|           | Theory       | Experiment           |
| $\bar{\Gamma}$ | H/Mo (110)  | 0.86                | 0.90               |
|           | H/W (110)    | 0.96                | 0.95               |
| $\bar{\Sigma}$ | H/Mo (110)  | 1.23                | 1.22               |
|           | H/W (110)    | 1.22                | 1.22               |

clearly distinguish between surface states and surface resonances. Additionally, due to interactions between the two surfaces within a slab system the $k_z$-space location of a calculated surface resonance which is not strongly localized at the surface cannot be determined accurately. Furthermore, we note that DFT is not expected to describe the Fermi surface accurately. Nevertheless, the important
theoretical finding of a H-induced surface state which can be related to the HAS anomalies is now verified by experiment.

3.3. Vibrational properties

At this point, the following question arises: How does the surface react to the apparent electronic instability due to the strong nesting features? In the case of nesting, the surface phonons have to furnish energy and momentum to the scattering process of electrons at the Fermi level. This leads to a softening of the related phonons. If the electron–phonon coupling is strong and the energetic cost of a surface distortion is small this softening could even trigger a reconstruction combined with the build-up of a charge-density wave as in the case of the (001) surfaces of W [5] and Mo [6]. It is clear that one needs to perform frozen-phonon calculations in order to determine the actual strength of the coupling and the induced phonon softening [25].

Fortunately, at $\bar{Q}^{2\perp}=\bar{S}$ such a calculation is particularly convenient, since at the zone boundary point $\bar{S}$ the Rayleigh-wave polarization is purely vertical, and the second layer is immobile by symmetry. The calculated frequencies for the $\bar{S}$-point and a second zone boundary point, $\bar{N}$, are presented in Table 3. For the latter our results reproduce the experimentally observed increase of the Rayleigh wave frequency as hydrogen is adsorbed. At the $\bar{S}$ point the strong coupling to electronic states at the Fermi level leads to a lowering of the phonon energy in good agreement with the experimental results. In Fig. 6 we schematically illustrate the mechanism which is responsible for this effect. It is, in fact, a text-book example of a Kohn anomaly due to Fermi-surface nesting [26,27]: (a) Within the unperturbed system the $(d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{xy})$ band cuts the Fermi level exactly midway between $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{S}$. (b) The $\bar{S}$-point phonon couples to the states at the Fermi level and causes the backfolding of the SBZ. (c) The nuclear distortion associated with the $\bar{S}$-point phonon modifies the surface potential and hence removes the degeneracy at the new zone boundary point $\bar{S}$. The occupied states are shifted to lower energies. This amounts to a negative contribution of the electronic band structure energy to the total energy and thus a lowering of the phonon energy.

A frozen-phonon study for the second nesting vector along $\bar{S}$ is not performed because $\bar{Q}^{2\perp}$ is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phonon</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>$E^p$ (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{N}$</td>
<td>W (110)</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H/W (110)</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{S}$</td>
<td>Mo (110)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H/Mo (110)</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W (110)</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H/W (110)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
highly non-commensurate; a calculation would be very expensive. However, since the character of the \((d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{xz})\) band does not change when shifting from the \(\Gamma\) to the \(\Gamma\tilde{H}\) nesting we expect similar results.

The calculation of the electron-phonon interaction at the Mo(110) and W(110) surfaces and its change due to hydrogen adsorption pinpoints the phonon character of the small anomaly \(\omega_2\) and identifies the interplay between the electronic structure and the vibrational spectra of the transition metal surfaces. Thus, our results which were recently confirmed by Bungaro [28] within the framework of perturbation DFT clearly support the interpretation that the dip observed by both HAS and HREELS is due to a Kohn anomaly.

3.4. Electron–hole excitations

For the deep and sharp anomaly \(\omega_1\), the interpretation is less straightforward, particularly since it is only seen in the HAS spectra. We need to understand the nature of rare-gas scattering in order to explain this unusual behavior.

In a recent study we found that those scattering processes are significantly more complicated (and more interesting) than hitherto assumed [29]: The reflection of the He atom happens at a distance of 2–3 Å from the substrate surface atoms. More important, it is not the total electron density of the substrate surface which determines the interaction but the electronic wave functions close to the Fermi level. In the case of the H/W(110) and H/Mo(110) systems it is thus plausible to assume that the He atom couples directly to the \((d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{xz})\) surface states mentioned above and excites electron–hole pairs. However, in several studies of jellium-like systems [30–35] this effect was found to be unimportant due to a very weak effective coupling. There the product of the interaction matrix elements and the density of surface excitations is very small. We argue that for the transition metal surface systems H/Mo(110) and H/W(110) the situation is rather different since there is the \((d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{xz})\) surface state whose orbital points into the vacuum and provides a possible hook for strong electronic coupling to the He atom. It is also reasonable to assume that the He-surface repulsion due to the total electron density is not significantly enhanced which keeps the turning point at the distance known for jellium systems.

In order to analyze the spectrum of electronic excitations in some more detail we evaluate the probability function

\[
P(q_1, \omega) = \int_{SBZ} \frac{d k_1}{\text{SBZ}} w_{k_1} w_{k_1} (f_{k_1} + q_1 - f_{k_1})
\]

\[
\times \delta(\epsilon_{k_1} + q_1 - \epsilon_{k_1} - \hbar \omega),
\]

which describes the local spectrum of electron–hole excitations close to the classical turning point of a scattering He atom. In our approach we use all eigenvalues \(\epsilon_{k_1}\) and occupation numbers \(f_{k_1}\) obtained via a nine-layer slab calculation. Also, we take into account the localization \(w_{k_1}\) of the respective state at a distance of 2.5 Å in front of the substrate surface atoms. Thus, the function \(P(q_1, \omega)\) reflects in an approximate manner (interaction matrix elements or selection rules are not considered) the probability for a He atom to lose energy \(\hbar \omega\) and momentum \(q_1\) during the scattering process.

The results obtained for Mo(110) and H/Mo(110) are presented in Fig. 7: For the clean surface we find that the intensity of the electron-hole excitations decreases continuously as we move away from the \(\Gamma\) point towards the zone boundaries. This relatively smooth behavior of \(P(q_1, \omega)\) is modified considerably when H is adsorbed on the clean surface: Pronounced peaks appear, in particular one which stretches from the \(\Gamma\tilde{H}\) line through the SBZ to the symmetry point \(\Sigma\). This is in excellent agreement with the HAS results. Also, there is a peak located at the second half of the \(\Gamma\tilde{N}\) symmetry line. It cannot be associated with an anomaly in the HAS spectrum. This result may be due to the fact that selection rules for the interaction between the scattering He atoms and the electron–hole excitations are not considered.

In view of the simplicity of the approach, the calculated spectrum of electron–hole excitations however seems to be well-connected to the HAS measurements. Moreover, it is surprising how the detailed Fermi surface nesting is reflected in the spectrum of electronic excitations at such a large distance from the surface where the HAS scattering process takes place. This finding supports the earlier suggestion [17] that the giant indentations,
and the Mo(110) surface do not show a pronounced top-layer-shift reconstruction upon adsorption of hydrogen, a result confirmed by a recent LEED analysis [21]. Also, we give a consistent explanation for the H-induced anomalies in the HAS spectra of W(110) and Mo(110). The H adsorption induces surface states of \( (d_{yz}, d_{zx}) \) character that show pronounced Fermi-surface nesting. The soft anomalous dip is clearly identified as a Kohn anomaly due to those nesting features [17,23,25]. For an understanding of the huge dip one needs to take into account that the scattering of rare-gas atoms is crucially influenced by interactions with substrate surface wave functions at the Fermi level [29]. The He atom couples efficiently to the H-induced surface states on H/W(110) and H/Mo(110). We therefore conclude that the deep branch of the anomaly is predominantly caused by a direct excitation of electron—hole pairs during the scattering process.

We hope that our first-principles study stimulates some more experimental work: For instance, the liquid-like behavior of the H adatoms observed in the HREELS measurements [3] is still not understood. One would also like to know whether the HAS and HREELS spectra of the Mo_{0.95}Re_{0.05} (110) alloy system reveal H-induced anomalies as expected. Finally, we call for a new ARP study of the clean and H-covered Mo(110) and W(110) surface and think that scattering experiments with atoms or molecules like Ne or H\(_2\) might provide additional insight into the interesting behavior of these surfaces.
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